mlcov: R package for Covariate Selection Using Machine Learning

Ibtissem Rebai (1), Vincent Duval (1), Ayman Akil (1), James Craig (1), Mike Talley (1), Anna Largajolli* (1), Floris Fauchet*(1). (1) Certara, Princeton, NJ, USA; * Contributed equally.

Package support : james.craig@certara.com; mike.talley@certara.com; ibtissem.rebai@certara.com

Background & Objective

- Previous work [1] evaluating the performance of Boruta algorithm (BOAL) [2] implemented in R [3] using XGboost in combination with Lasso regularized regression method [4] led us to establish a new framework for covariate selection.
- *mlcov* R package (<u>https://github.com/certara/mlcov</u>) is now available to the pharmacometrics community.
- This work compares the *mlcov* R package and the traditional Stepwise Covariate Modeling (SCM) methodology [5] on a real-world data. Results of both approaches were compared with respect to covariates identified as clinically relevant.

Methods

Machine Learning algorithms

- Boruta
- Creates a set of shadow covariates generated by randomly permuting the values of the original covariates and compares their importance scores to the original covariates provided by training an XGBoost model (Fig. 1).
 Identify covariates by repeating the process and evaluating the number of hits (=importance greater than the maximum importance of all shadow covariates) in binomial distribution to provide decision of covariate selection (Fig. 2).

Implementation *mlcov*

<pre>devtools::install_github("certara/mlcov")</pre>	
<pre>library(mlcov)</pre>	



Results

Tab. 2	SCM	mlcov
Number of covariate effect selected	9	6
	4	0



> XGBoost

Gradient boosting technique that employs a series of **Decision Trees** to make predictions. It assigns an importance score to covariates, with more crucial one receiving higher scores.

Lasso

Regularization techniques addressing collinearity in statistical modeling that is applied with the **glmnet package in R** before the BOAL to reduce **correlation** between covariates.

Covariate rejected by user	T	U
Execution time	13h	5min

The parameter estimates are similar regarding set of covariates identified by the two methodologies (Tab. 3).

Tab. 3	ALB CL/F	CRCL CL/F	ETHN CL/F	Race CL/F	Sex CL/F	WGT CL/F	ALB Vc/F	WGT Vc/F
SCM selection	-1.35	0.17	0.23	0.19	0.1	0.66	-0.54	0.8
mlcov selection	-1.13	0.18	-	0.2	-	0.73	-0.54	0.81
Importance Score	0.14	0.35	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.38	0.72	0.27

Sex and Ethnicity not selected by *mlcov*, likely due to their correlations with bodyweight and race (Fig. 4).



Majority Voting Ensemble (MVE)

Covariate selection framework implemented to repeat the entire process on five random subsets of the dataset using **a voting mechanism** to obtain the final covariate selection.

mlcov package

Data splitting

 The dataset including the empirical Bayesian estimates of the individual parameters (EBEs) and the sets of the covariates is randomly split into five equal subsets (or folds).

Covariate selection

 4/5 subsets (80%) are used to apply Lasso algorithm as a pre-processing step, followed by BOAL to select the relevant covariates. This process is repeated 5 times, with different folds used each time.

Voting mechanism

• The number of times each covariate is selected in the five folds is calculated. The covariates with the highest selection count (more than 2 times) are considered as the final selected covariates.

Female Male not Hispanic or Latino Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity

Multivariate forest plots

- EBEs associated with individual set of covariates were used for this assessment. The parameter uncertainty and the residual variability were not considered.
- Covariates unselected by *mlcov* (Sex and Ethnicity) showed no clinical relevance (included in gray area covering the 0.8 to 1.25-fold change in exposure metric).
- Similar trends are observed between both approaches resulting in same conclusions on the clinical relevance of the covariates.



Residuals Plot

 Residual plots are used: 1) to assess how chosen covariates capture data trends 2) to reveals potential overlooked trends with the unselected covariates.

Real-world data

- PopPK model developed on Phase 2/ Phase 3 data including N=1957 patients.
- 14 covariates relationships tested for both SCM and *mlcov* (Tab. 1).

Parameters	Covariates tested		
CL/F	weight, albumin, creatinine clearance (CRCL), sex, race, ethnicity		
V/F	weight (WGT), albumin (ALB), sex, race, ethnicity (ETHN)		
Ка	age, formulation (FORM), device		
Tab. 1			
[1] Rebai I., Duval, V., Ak PAGE meeting 2023. A C	il, A., Teusher, N., Largajolli, A. and Fauchet, F. Evaluation of the Boruta Machine Learning Algorithm for Covariate Selection. 31 oruna. Spain. June 2023		

[2] KURSA, Miron B.; JANKOWSKI, Aleksander; RUDNICKI, Witold R. Boruta-a system for feature selection. Fundamenta Informaticae, 2010, 101.4: 271-285

Conclusion

- Regarding the two approaches, similar conclusions are reached about clinical implications based on covariate.
- The covariate selection process can become efficient and user friendly by using Machine Learning framework algorithms as implemented in the *mlcov* package.

[3] Kursa, M. B., & Rudnicki, W. R. (2010). Feature Selection with the Boruta Package. Journal of Statistical Software, 36(11), 1–13.
[4] Friedman J, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear Models via Coordinate Descent. J Stat Softw. 2010;33(1):1-22
[5] Jonsson E, Karlsson M (1998) Automated covariate model building with NONMEM. Pharm Res 15(9):1463–1468