A frailty model for quantifying the association between CompEx risk and variation in lung function

Ludvig Jakobsson^{1,2,4}, Mats Jirstrand¹, Philip Gerlee², Jason Cooper³, Jacob Leander⁴





(1) Fraunhofer-Chalmers Research Centre for Industrial Mathematics, Gothenburg, Sweden

(2) Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

(3) R&I Biometrics & Statistical Innovation, Late R&I, BioPharmaceuticals R&D, AstraZeneca, Cambridge, UK

(4) Clinical Pharmacology and Quantitative Pharmacology, Clinical Pharmacology and Safety Sciences, R&D, AstraZeneca, Gothenburg, Sweden

Introduction

Random fluctuations in lung function have been shown to be associated with acute exacerbations in asthma (1,2).

These fluctuations may be quantified as a summary statistic of the peak expiratory flow (PEF), which can be collected using home• A frailty model for recurrent time to event data was developed to investigate the association between variability in PEF and CompEx risk.

 $\lambda_i(t) = Y_i(t)\lambda_0(t)\mu_i \exp(\beta^T X_{data.i}),$

- Y -at-risk function where
 - λ_0 baseline hazard
 - μ frailty parameter
- Using a gamma-distributed frailty parameter resulted in the smallest AIC and gave a significant likelihood increase over the nofrailty case.
- The estimated effect of a **one L/min** increase in run-in PEF SD was a 2.4% increase in CompEx risk.

spirometry.

Further, it has been shown that using the endpoint CompEx in place of exacerbations allows for more efficient clinical trials (3).

This work has focused on understanding how fluctuations are associated with CompEx risk as this knowledge may further improve clinical trial efficiency.

Clinical data

- The analysis was conducted using data from a Phase 2b dose-finding study of velsecorat, NCT03622112. (4).
- The clinical trial featured
- a 3-to-4-week run-in period,
- a 12-week treatment period,
- 805 randomized patients,
- o 7 treatment arms.
- Twice daily spirometry measurements

- β vector of parameters
- Covariates included in the analysis were runin variability in PEF, baseline PEF, and treatment arm.
- Multiple probability distributions were considered for the frailty parameter and the models were evaluated using the Akaike information criteria (AIC).
- Results
- SD was chosen as the measure of variability in run-in PEF. The distribution of calculated SD-values can be seen in Figure 2.
- Figure 2: Distribution of calculated standard deviation in run-in PEF (L/min).



• Given the distribution of SD-values (Figure 2), this effect resulted in a **74% increase in CompEx risk for a patient in the 90th** percentile compared to the median. This can be seen in Figure 3.

Figure 3. The estimated CompEx risk change from median as a function of standard deviation in run-in PEF. The vertical lines show several quantiles of the SD-distribution.



resulted in a large amount of PEF data. An example of an individual time-series is depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Example data showing morning PEF measurements and CompEx events.



 Run-in PEF SD was found to have a statistically significant (p<0.01) association with CompEx risk. All estimated parameters for the frailty model are reported in Table 1.

Table 1: Parameter estimates from the frailty model, exponentiated for ease of interpretation.

| Parameter | Exp(estimate) (95% CI) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Baseline PEF | 0.998 (0.996, 0.999) | <0.05 |
| Run-in PEF SD | 1.024 (1.008, 1.040) | <0.01 |
| velsecorat 50 µg QD | 0.405 (0.185, 0.886) | <0.05 |
| velsecorat 90 µg QD | 0.414 (0.191, 0.900) | <0.05 |
| velsecorat 180 µg QD | 0.404 (0.186, 0.878) | <0.05 |
| velsecorat 360 µg QD | 0.133 (0.055, 0.324) | <0.001 |
| velsecorat 720 µg QD | 0.087 (0.036, 0.206) | <0.001 |
| FF 100 µg QD (open- label) | 0.149 (0.064, 0.345) | <0.001 |

Conclusions

An association was found between run-in PEF variability and CompEx risk using the developed frailty model.

A strong heterogeneity in CompEx events was found, supporting the use of a frailty parameter in the model.

The findings suggest that standard deviation in PEF during run-in may be useful as a predictor of patient-level CompEx risk in clinical trials.

References

1. J. Leander et al., A stochastic mixed effects model to assess treatment effects and fluctuations in home-measured peak expiratory flow and the

Methods

• Outliers in daily PEF were systematically removed by calculating an interval determined by the patient's interquartile range and removing data points outside of this interval.

 Multiple measures of variability in PEF during run-in were calculated per patient, including standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation.

> PEF peak expiratory flow; SD standard deviation; QD once daily; FF fluticasone furoate

association with exacerbation risk in asthma. CPT Pharmacom & Syst Pharma 11, 212–224 (2022).

- 2. C. Thamrin et al., Associations between fluctuations in lung function and asthma control in two populations with differing asthma severity. Thorax 66, 1036–1042 (2011).
- 3. A. L. Fuhlbrigge et al., A novel endpoint for exacerbations in asthma to accelerate clinical development: a post-hoc analysis of randomised controlled trials. The Lancet Respiratory Medicine 5, 577–590 (2017).
- 4. Chupp, G.L et al. Results of a Phase 2b Dose Finding Study of Velsecorat, an Inhaled Non-Steroidal, Selective Glucocorticoid Receptor Modulator in Asthma (GRANIT). Am. Thorac. Soc. Int. Conf. Meet. Abstr. 2021, 203, A1202

