

# Cis-binding of bispecific antibodies: effect of additional valency and dose on the antibody-target complexes formation on the example of antibody CTX8371

Veronika Musatova\*(1), Oleg Demin Jr (1), Dmitry Shchelokov (1), Oleg Demin (2)

(1) InSysBio CY, Cyprus, (2) InSysBio UK, United Kingdom\*musatova@insysbio.com

# **INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVE**

Different binding patterns of therapeutic antibodies impact the therapy effects. Novel bispecific antibodies (BsAb) may bind different targets on the same cell (so-called cis binding), resulting in faster target internalization [1] or combined impact on cell signaling [2]. Available tetravalent antibodies, which have additional binding sites for the targets, can form more complexes with targets. This may impact BsAb effects, for example, antibody avidity, receptor occupancy, or target downregulation. We developed a translational PK/RO model of tetravalent bispecific antibody CTX8371 and its bivalent bsAb analog and bivalent monospecific antibody to investigate the possible effects of tetravalency compared to bivalency on receptor occupancy (RO) and target internalization in the case of cis binding.

#### **ANTIBODY-TARGET COMPLEXES AND RO SIMULATIONS**

Model simulation for humans shows that cis-binding of CTX8371 leads to the significant formation of complexes, different from simple dimeric, only in the range of Ab doses from 0.001 to 0.1 mg/kg. In this range, the lowest observed relative number of dimeric complexes is equal to 14% (not shown). With higher doses of BsAb the relative number of dimeric complexes reaches 90% already at 1 mg/kg (see Fig.5 for complexes percents simulation for dose range 0.01 - 10 mg/kg). Multimeric complexes, formed by tetravalent CTX8371, impact PD1 and PDL1 RO compared to monospecific ones with the same binding parameters. For example, for 0.001 mg/kg of CTX8371 PD1 RO was equal to 76%, whereas for bivalent monospecific Ab - 54%. Comparisons of PD1 and PDL1 RO simulated for CTX8371 vs. canonical monospecific bivalent Ab are presented in the tables 2 and 3.

# TRANSLATIONAL PK/RO MODEL OF CTX8371

PK was described by a conventional 2-compartment model.

The binding of CTX8371 to PD1 and PDL1 on T cells in plasma and tumor and on malignant cells in a tumor was described in terms of cis- di-,tri-, tetra-, and pentameric (tetra- and penta- only for tetravalent Ab) complexes formation. Parameters were identified against published data for cynomolgus monkeys [3], translation to the human was made using a common allometric scaling approach [4]. Calibration of the model was performed using the monkey PK data and in vitro RO data from [3], validation – using PD1 downregulation data [3]. Target-BsAb complexes concentrations, RO and total target number on the cell were simulated for bivalent and tetravalent bsAbs in Q2W regimen for different doses.

Binding description approach for tetravalent antibody was validated with an additional in vitro model predicting RO of PD1, PDL1 and combined PD1\PDL1 RO during in vitro treatment with anti-PD1 Ab, anti-PDL1 Ab and CTX8371.

Cynomolgus monkeys PK and Total protein decrease data description in presented on the Fig.1 and Fig.2



Fig.5. Antibody-Target complexes estimations for dose range 0.01 - 10 mg/kg. Dimeric complexes Antibody-Target prevail already for doses <0.1 mg/kg

	PD1 on T cell in blood trough occupancy, free to current total, %	PDL1 on T cell in blood trough occupancy, free to current total, %	
0.0002 mg/kg, Q2W	12	0.0018 mg/kg, Q2W	11.5
0.0002 mg/kg, Q2W, no PDL1 binding	2	0.0018 mg/kg, Q2W, no PD1 binding	3
1 mg/kg, Q2W	95	1 mg/kg, Q2W	87.5
1 mg/kg, Q2W, no PDL1 binding	95	1 mg/kg, Q2W, no PD1 binding	87.5



Fig.1. Cynomolgus PK data description by the model. Experimental data from the [3].

10000

Fig.2. Cynomolgus data for Total PD1 decrease description by the model. Experimental data from the [3]. In model only internalization of CTX8371 complexes with target in introduced to describe the data.

# HUMAN PK SIMULATIONS

Simulations for humans were made for 100 virtual patients considering the variability of PK parameters. Estimations of CTX8371 concentration in plasma for single and multiple doses are presented in the Fig.3 and 4. Table 1 represents the estimated trough concentration.





Table 2. PD1 RO comparison for tetravalent CTX8371 vs. No-PDL1 binding bivalent Ab for 2 different doses.



Table 3. PDL1 RO comparison for tetravalent CTX8371 vs. No-PD1 binding bivalent Ab for 2 different doses.

> Multimeric complexes, formed by tetravalent antibody on the same cell surface (during the cis-binding), might lead to the higher RO, but only for the low doses (<0.1 for CTX8371). For higher doses due to the prevalence of dimeric complexes on the cell surface, we observe no additional effects of tetravalency (See Fig. 6)

—antiPD1-antiPDL1 bivalent BsAb —antiPD1 bivalent Ab —CTX8371 (Tetravalent BsAb)

Fig.6. PD1 RO comparisons for CTX8371, bivalent monospecific antiPD1 antibody and bivalent bispecific antiPD1antiPDL1 antibody with the same binding parameters

#### CONCLUSIONS

The model shows an additional effect of BsAb CTX8371 tetravalency on RO compared to the monospecific bivalent antibody for low doses. No additional effect on RO was noted for simulation results compared with bivalent BsAb for doses >0.1 mg/kg. This might be explained by multimeric complex formation, which is observed for low doses of antibody only. However, the relative number of tetra- and pentameric complexes remains low even for the low doses.

0.01			0.01 -		
0	7 Time (da	14 21 ay)	Ö	50 100 Time (day)	150 200
	- 0.1 mg/kg — 0.3 mg/kg — 1 n	ng/kg — 3 mg/kg — 10 mg/kg	3 mg/kg Q2	W — 3 mg/kg Q3W — 10 mg/k	g Q2W — 20 mg/kg Q4W
Fig.3 PD1 on b	lood T cells RO for mul	tiple dose treatment	Fig.4 PDL1 on maligr	nant cells RO for multi	ole dose treatment
	CTX8371 in plasma	, ug/ml			
		95% CI left	Median	95% Cl right	
	3 mg/kg, Q2W	7.07	45.29	148.31	
	3 mg/kg, Q3W	2.26	24.69	92.31	
	10 mg/kg, Q2W	23.62	151.06	494.66	
	20 mg/kg, Q4W	5.09	100.54	439.64	

Table 1. Trough CTX8371 concentration in plasma for different multiple-doses regimens

### REFERENCES

- Pance K, Gramespacher JA, Byrnes JR, Salangsang F, Serrano JC, Cotton AD, Steri V, Wells JA. Modular cytokine receptortargeting chimeras for targeted degradation of cell surface and extracellular proteins. Nat Biotechnol. 2023 Feb;41(2):273-281. doi: 10.1038/s41587-022-01456-2.
- Madsen Andreas V., Pedersen Lasse E., Kristensen Peter, Goletz Steffen. Design and engineering of bispecific antibodies: insights and practical considerations. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 2024; 12. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2024.1352014
- 3. Diana I. Albu, Xianzhe Wang, Yan Qin, Vivian Li, Purushothama Nanjappa, Amy Daniel Ulumben, Ruturaj Jadhav, Jason Kong, Austin Ablicki, Neal Schilling, Thomas Schuetz, Susan Kalled, Bing Gong, Nelly Kuklin; Abstract 3431: Dose range finding study in non-human primates confirms the unique mechanism of action of CTX-8371, a novel bispecific antibody blocking PD-1 and PD-L1. Cancer Res 15 June 2022; 82 (12\_Supplement): 3431. doi: 10.1158/1538-7445.AM2022-3431
- 4. Haraya K, Tachibana T, Nezu J. Quantitative prediction of therapeutic antibody pharmacokinetics after intravenous and subcutaneous injection in human. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet. 2017 Aug;32(4):208-217. doi: 10.1016/j.dmpk.2017.05.002.

### www.insysbio.com