

Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic (PBPK) Modelling to Predict Human Milk Exposure to medicines: a contribution from the ConcePTION Project

Nina Nauwelaerts¹, Julia Macente¹, Neel Deferm^{1,2}, Rodolfo Hernandes Bonan³, Miao-Chan Huang¹, Martje Van Neste¹, David Bibi⁴, Justine Badee⁵, Frederico S. Martins¹, Anne Smits^{6,7,8}, Karel Allegaert^{1,6,8,9}, Thomas Bouillon³, and Pieter Annaert^{1,3}

(1) Drug Delivery and Disposition, Department of Pharmaceutical and Pharmacological Sciences, KU Leuven, Belgium, (2) Certara UK Ltd., Sheffield, UK (current affiliation), (3) BioNotus GCV, Niel, Belgium, (4) Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Netanya, Israel, (5) Novartis, Basel, Switzerland, (6) Department of Development and Regeneration, KU Leuven, Belgium, (7) Neonatal intensive care unit, University Hospitals Leuven, Belgium, (8) KU Leuven Child & Youth Institute, Leuven, Belgium, (9) Department of Hospital Pharmacy, Erasmus University Medical Center, the Netherlands

Introduction





To evaluate the predictive performance of a physiologically-based lactation generic pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model for ten physiochemically diverse medicines.

Methods: workflow for development and evaluation of lactation PBPK models

Workflow for lactation PBPK model development

PK-Sim® and MoBi v9.1 (Open Systems Pharmacology) were used to develop the PBPK models for ten physiochemically diverse medicines [1].





PBPK model for lactating population

 $Log(CL_{sec}) = -3.912 - 0.015 PSA + 3.367 Log(MW) - 0.164 Log\left(\frac{P}{D_{7.4}}\right)$ $Log(CL_{re}) = 2.793 + 0.179 Log P - 0.132 HBD$

> The PBPK models were extended with a breasts and human milk compartment [2,3]. Secretion (CLsec) and reuptake (CLre) from human milk were calculated using equations from an empirical model [4]. A previously published postpartum population was used to create a three months postpartum population (n=1000) [5]. AUC_{human milk} M/P ratio =AUC_{plasma}

Calculated infant dose



Daily infant dosage (DID, $\frac{\kappa g}{day}$) = Concentration _{milk} * 150 mL/kg/day

Relative infant dose (RID,%) = $\frac{Daily}{Daily}$ maternal dosage -* 100 % Daily infant dosage

Relative therapeutic infant dose $(RID_{therapeutic}, \%) =$ — * 100 % *Daily* therapeutic infant *dosage*

 $\frac{mg}{l}$

Results: reasonable prediction for eight of the ten medicines

Concentration [mg/L] Amoxicillin 20-15 -Time [h] Concentration [mg/L] Caffeine 60 36 48 24 12

ation [mg/L]

).3**-**

0.2-





0.6 -

| Secretion and reuptake clearance values | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Medicine | CL _{sec} (mL/h) | Cl _{re} (mL/h) | | | |
| AMX | 47 | 263 | | | |
| CAF | 824 | 603 | | | |
| CET | 3031 | 1923 | | | |
| LEV | 445 | 358 | | | |
| MTF | 22 | 138 | | | |
| NVP | 2414 | 1015 | | | |
| SER | 8926 | 3597 | | | |
| TFV | 52 | 129 | | | |
| VPA | 248 | 1423 | | | |
| ZDV | 431 | 345 | | | |
| Milk-to-plasma ratio (M/P ratio) and infant dose | | | | | |

Predicted M/P RID_{therapeutic} DID (mg/kg/day) Medicine ratio **RID (%)** (%) (observed range) 0.15 0.12 0.24

Conclusion

- workflow resulted in reasonable The a prediction of maternal plasma and human milk concentration-time profiles for eight of the ten physiochemically diverse medicines, while an overprediction in human milk was observed for nevirapine and tenofovir.
- quantitative Unique information infant on medicines maternal can be exposure to generated in an early drug development stage, *i.e.*, before clinical data becomes available.

References:

[1] Nauwelaerts, N. et al. Pharmaceutics 15, 1469 (2023) [2] Dallmann, A. et al. CPT pharmacometrics Syst. Pharmacol. 7, 419– 431 (2018)

[3] Atkinson, H. C. & Begg, E. J. Clin. Pharmacokinet. 18, 151–167 (1990)

[4] Koshimichi, H. et al. Drug Metab. Dispos. 39, 2370–2380 (2011) [5] Job, K. M. et al. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 111, 1111–1120 (2022)



| | AIVIA | (0.04-0.06) | (0.24) | 0.24 |
|-----|-------|----------------------|-----------------|-------|
| 168 | CAF | 0.95 (0.52-1.16) | 0.30 (5.95) | 5.96 |
| 166 | CET | 0.12 (0.2) | 0.002 (1.24) | 0.41 |
| | LEV | 1.11 (0.46-1.79) | 6.16 (12) | 15.40 |
| | MTF | 0.16 (0.13-1.00) | 0.052 (0.10) | - |
| | NVP | 2.68 (0.2-1.5) | 2.43 (37) | 20.23 |
| 156 | SER | 1.62 (0.12-5.2) | 0.005 (0.63) | - |
| | TFV | 0.40 (0.025-0.11) | 0.01 (0.15) | 0.12 |
| | VPA | 0.03 (0.013-0.25) | 0.52 (1.50) | 1.31 |
| | ZDV | 1.10 (0.3-3.21) | 0.04 (0.41) | 0.17 |

Abbreviations: Cl_{sec}: secretion clearance; Cl_{re}: reuptake clearance; PSA: polar surface area; HBD: hydrogen bond donor; M/P: milk-toplasma ratio; AUC: area-under-the-curve; AMX: amoxicillin; CAF: caffeine; CET: cetirizine; LEV: levetiracetam; MTF: metformin; NVP: nevirapine; SER: sertraline; TFV: tenofovir; VPA: valproic acid; ZDV: zidovudine



Publication



Acknowledgement: This work has received support from the EU/EFPIA Innovative Medicines Initiative [2] Joint Undertaking ConcePTION grant No. 821520. The research leading to these Results was conducted as part of the ConcePTION consortium. This abstract only reflects the personal views of the stated authors. Nina Nauwelaerts received a fellowship from the Research Foundation—Flanders (1S50721N). Figures were created with Biorender.com and R for Windows (v. 4.2.2) and Rstudio (v. 2022.07.2+576)

Contact: nina.nauwelaerts@kuleuven.be

